#### DEPUTY JUDGE ADVOCATE'S OFFICE MJA/jkw 7708 WAR CRIMES GROUP EUROPEAN COMMAND APO 407

4 November 1947

UNITED STATES

Case No. 000-50-2-89

Wilhelm WETZ .... et el.

### REVILW AND RECOMMENDATIONS

I. TRIAL DATA: The accused were tried at Dachau, Germany, 10 July 1947, before a General Wilitary Government Court.

### II. CHARGES AND PARTICULARS:

FIRST CHARGE: Violetion of the Laws and Useges of Wer.

Particulars: In that Wilhelm Metzler, and Christian Fire acting in pursuance of a common design to commit the ects hereinefter alleged, and as individual(s) siding is the operation of the Drehou Concentration Crmp and comps subsidiary thereto, cid, at or in the vicinity of Dackat one Limberrag, Germany, between about 1 January 1942 and about 29 April 1945, willfully, deliberately, and wrongfully encourage, ald, abet and perticipate in the subjection of civilian nationals of nations then at war with the then German Reich to cruelties one mistrestment, including killings, bestings, tortures, stervetion, abuses and indignities, the exact names and numbers of such civilian nationals being unknown but aggregating many thousands who were then and there in the custody of the German Reich in exercise of belligerent control.

Violetion of the Laws and Esages of War. SECOND CHARGE:

Perticulars: In that Wilhelm Matzler, and Christian Fire cting in pursuance of a common design to commit the acts hereinefter alleged, and as individual(s) aiding in the operation of the Dechau Concentration Crup and comps subsidiry thereto, did at or in the vicinity of Dachau and Lambsberg, Germany, between about 1 January 1942 and about 29 April 1945, willfully, deliberately and wrongfully encourage, sid, abot and participate in the subjection of members of the armed forces of nations then at war with the then German Reich, who were then end there surrendered and unarmed prisoners of wer in the custody of the then German Reich, to cruelties end mistreetment, including killings, bertings, tortures, stervation, abuses and indignities, the exact names and numbers of such prisoners of wer being unknown, but aggregating many hundreds.

III. SUMMARY OF LVIDE CE: Accused METZLER was an inmate of outcamp Horgau of Decheu Concentration Camp and served as a capo. Accused

FERC was a waffen SS staff sergeant and served as a detail and dog leader at outcamp allach of Dachau Concentration Camp. Both accused served at these outcamps for considerable periods of time between the dates alleged and were shown to have participated in the Dachau Concentration Camp mass atrocities. Presecution's Exhibit P-Ex 2 is a certified copy of the charges, particulars, findings and sentences in the parent Dachau Concentration Camp Case (United States v. weiss, et al., 000-50-2, opinion DJ.wC, March 1946, hereinafter referred to as the "Parent Case"; see Section V, post, R 7).

Not much weight was given to the testimony of vitness Karl Kramer.

## IV. LVIDENCE AND RECORDERED TIONS:

### 1. Wilholm MATZLER

Nationality: German

46

Civilian Status: Merchant

Party Status: Unknown

Military Status: Unknown

Pleas: NG Charge I; NG Charge II

Findings: G Charge I; NG Charge II

Sentence: 10 years, commencing 28 May 1946

Evidence for Prosecution: The accused was a capo and block eldest at outcamp Horgau of Dachau Concentration Camp in 1945. He best immates with a stick when they tried to go to the latrine at night (R 21, 45). He best immates over the head with a stick as they left the hall to fall out for the morning roll call (R 22, 34; P-Ex 5). On one occasion he best an immate more than 100 times over the head with a stick (R 22, 28). In immate who was besten by the accused was reported to have died six days later (R 28). When immates arrived at outcamp Horgau in the early spring of 1945, they were supposed to have a 14 day rest,

but the accused forced them to work and beat them (R 21,22,34; F-Lx 5). The accused participated in the shooting of 120 Russians near buchberg on 28 or 29 April 1945 (R 35; P-Ex 6). In his testimony, the accused admitted being an armed member of the guard detail when passian prisoners of war were sent from Buchberg to Bad Tolz, termany. Moll, who was sentenced to death in the Parent Case (R 851, P-Ex 114) shot 26 of these prisoners of war with his machine pistol. None of the guards on this detail participated in the killing of these Russian prisoners of war (R 54, 55).

Evidence for Defense: The accused testified that he was sent to Sachsenhausen Concentration Camp in 1936 for making disparaging remarks about the Hitler regime. Later, he was sent to Flossenburg Concentration Camp as a punishment for being too friendly with Jews. In February 1943 he was sent from Flossenburg to outcamp Augsburg of Dachau Concentration Camp, and in February 1945 he arrived at outcamp Horgau of Dachau Concentration Camp (R 42, 43). He was frequently punished and mistreated during his stay in concentration comps (R 43-45). He testified that he did not mistrest any inmates but he admitted that he sometimes had to beat them to maintain order in camp (R 45). He testified that matters would have been ruch worse if camp leader Bertling handled them (R 46). The accuse: seat inmates who stayed in the barracks and failed to report for a ming roll call. He did this in order to save his fellow inmates on being forced to stand outside the barracks after five o clock, which was the penalty for failure to report for roll call. The secused would have been besten if he failed to have the inmates at the roll call square at a certain time (R 47). The accused denied the he beat a young boy over the head 100 times (R 48). There were 300 inmetes in his block at outcamp Horgau (R 53).

<u>Sufficiency of Evidence:</u> The findings of guilty are warranted by the evidence. The sentence is not excessive.

Petitions: No Petitions for Review nor Petitions for Clemency were filed.

Recommendation: That the findings and sentence be approved.

### 2. Christian FLAG

German Nationality:

57 age :

Civilian Status: Unknown

Unknown Party Status:

SS Staff Sergeant Military Status:

NG Charge I; NG Charge II Fleas:

G Charge I; NG Charge II Findines:

5 years, commencing 21 June 1946 Sentence:

Evidence for Prosecution: The accused was a detail leader in the gravel pit at outcamp allach in 1943. He permitted his dog to bite inmates as they marched in and out of the camp and at the work site (R 17). He best inmates with a rubber hose or a club and also thr w rocks at them (R 15, 16). Inmates who had been besten by the accused or bitten by his dog had to go to the dispensary. Russian and Italian inmates were punished by the accused (R 18). When the immates were unable to carry rails, the accused beat them with a rubber hose or ath a club (R 16). . former inmate testified that he was hit ca. The back with a stone thrown by the accused and had to go to the dispensary (R 16). On another occasion an inmate was rendered unconscious for about ten minutes, due to being struck on the nose by the accused (R 19).

Karl Kramer, a former inmate, testified that the accused was a detail and dog leader at outcamp Allach of Dachau Concentration Camp in 1943 and 1944 (R 8). The accused permitted his dog to bit inmutes as they marched in and out of the camp and at the work site (R 19), He boat inmetes with sticks and

also threw rocks at them. Whenever this happened his dog vould jump at the inmate and bite him. at the Bavarian Motor works the accused threatened inmates of his work detail with his Browning pistol and beat them daily. The accused would report inmates to the camp leader or deputy leader for doing incufficient work at the factory. as a result of these reports, inmates had to stand for hours at the gate and then they were punished by receiving a number of strokes (R 9). These punishments were servers enough to cause serious bodily harm to the victim. all the dogs at outcamp allach were trained to jump at the inmates. The accused punished Yugoslav, Russlan, Polish, French and Belgian inmates with sufficient severity to cause bodily narm (R 10).

Evidence for Defense: .. former second lieutenant of the SS testified that the dog of the accused was muzzled when he visited his detail. He never saw or heard of any of the dogs at outcamp allach biting inmates (R 38, 39). The prosecution vitness Kraeger never complained to him about the accused's dog nor did he over complain that the accused mistreated an inmate. He never saw any mistreatment of immates at outcamp Allach (R 40).

Sufficiency of Evidence: The findings of guilty are arrunted by the evidence. The sentence is not excessive

Petitions: No Fetitions for Review nor Petitions for Clemency were filed.

Recommendation: That the findings and sentence be approved.

# V. QUESTIONS OF Law:

Jurisdiction: It is clear that the Court had jurisdiction of the persons of the accused and of the subject matter.

application of the Farent Case: The Gourt was required to take cognizance of the decision rendered in the Parent

Dachau Concentration Camp Case, including the findings of the Court therein, that the mass atrocity operation was criminal in nature and that the participants therein, acting in pursuance of a common design, subjected persons to killings, beatings, tortures, etc., and was warranted in inferring that those shown to have participated knew of the diminal nature thereof (letter, Headquarters United States Forces, European Theater, file aG 000.5 Jag-ago, subject: "Trial of war Grimes Cases," 14 October 1946, and the Farent Case). The accused were shown to have participated in the mass atrocity and the Court was warranted by the evidence adduced, either in the Farent Case or in this subsequent proceedings, in concluding as to them that they not only participated to a substantial degree but that the nature and extent of their participation were such as to warrant the sentences imposed.

Examination of the entire record fails to disclose any error or emission which resulted in injustice to the accused.

VI. CONCLUSIONS:

- 1. It is recommended that the findings and sentence be approved.
- 2. Legal Forms Nos. 13 and 16 to accomplish this result are attached horate, should it meet with approval.

MURRLY J. LADROVETTL attorney Fost Trial Branch

Having	examined	the	record	of	trial,	1	concur,	this	
day of			19	18.					

C.L. ETRAIGHT Lieutenant Colonel, JaGD Deputy Judge advocate for war Crimes.